# PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Andrew Schwinn (ASM), Adam Luhnow (ASM)

#### Background

## **Problem Statement**

ADM wants to see a conceptual study of a device to clean grain bins that does not require entry into the bin. This would be a review of current commercial offerings and an ideation study, trying to develop as many viable alternatives as possible for the problem.

#### **Objectives**

- . Review
- 2. Technology evaluation
- 3. General recommendations



## **Economic Analysis**

		Cost/Beech Grove
Method	Cost/Bin	Facility
EZ Slide	\$210	\$44,730
Slip Plate	\$330	\$70,290
New Steel	\$15,000	\$3,195,000
<b>Concrete Reslick</b>	\$20,000	\$4,260,000
Whip Clean-out*		
Low	\$1,800	\$383,400
High	\$5,400	\$1,150,200
*5 Day Period, 5-15 Bins		

Sponsor: Archer Daniels Midland (ADM)

**Technical Advisor:** Dr. Ileleji



# CAPSTONE/SENIOR DESIGN EXPERIENCE 2017

FDI Slick coating tubes Air whip

Aerosol Antiresbalan Aerosol antiderapan one a silp-resistant saafaar b n concrete, metal, controlic and Ref MI. 17 ALL. (MIZ Bournel)

This project provided a couple of different outcomes. The first being that a slick coating has almost no affect on the grain. The redesign of the aeration tube caps is one solution for stuck grain. The other solution would be to put the grain bin hoppers on a maintenance

**PURDUE AGRICULTURE** PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Andrew Marchese

Scott Brand





Conduct Slide Tests

Misc. Wrap-up and Review





#### **Final Recommendations**

- Remove damaged aeration tube caps • Replace with redesigned caps that provide better flow and structural integrity
- Place hopper bottoms on maintenance schedule Install all new metal hopper
- Sandblast rust and grime to provide a new slick surface





Purdue University is an equal opportunity/equal access institution.